IN RNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/014858

A.	CL	ASSI	FICATIO	N OF S	SUBJ	ECT	MATI	ER
II	PC	7	B64	D13,	/08			

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

R FIFLDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $IPC\ 7\ B64D$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of	Relevant to claim No.	
X	US 2 959 028 A (BEGGS JOHN C) 8 November 1960 (1960-11-08) column 5, line 51 - column 7, figures 1,2	line 28	1,9
X	GB 1 385 182 A (HAWKER SIDDELL LTD) 26 February 1975 (1975-0) column 2, line 121 - column 3 figure 1	2-26)	1
X	GB 1 604 541 A (GARRETT CORP) 9 December 1981 (1981-12-09) page 5, line 35 - line 73 figure 2		1
		-/	
X Furt	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed	in annex.
° Special ca	tegories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance	Patent family members are listed "T" later document published after the Into or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention	ernational filing date
Special ca A" docume consider filling consider which citation other of the country of the count	tegories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international	"T" later document published after the Into or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or th	ernational filing date I the application but learly underlying the claimed invention I be considered to coment is taken atone claimed invention liventive step when the ore other such docu- lus to a person skilled
Special ca "A" docume consider if iling consider in the citation of the citat	tegories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late that the international late of the stabilish the publication date of another in or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but	"T" later document published after the Integration or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or more than the such combination being obvious the art.	ernational filing date I the application but learly underlying the claimed invention It be considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention iventive step when the ore other such docu- lus to a person skilled
Special ca A" docume consider of filing consider of the citation of the cita	tegories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late with which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but tan the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the Integration or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the decarnot be considered to involve an ir document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an ir document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvious the art. "&" document member of the same patent	ernational filing date I the application but learly underlying the claimed invention I the considered to ocument is taken alone claimed invention iventive step when the ore other such docu- lus to a person skilled



International Application No PCT/EP2004/014858

0/6		C1/EP2004/014858
Category °	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
		Tiolovani to danii 140.
Α	EP 0 555 500 A (UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION) 18 August 1993 (1993-08-18) column 3, line 45 - column 6, line 17 figures 3,4	1,2, 5-10,13, 14,17, 18,20
A	column 3, line 45 - column 6, line 17 figures 3,4 FR 2 485 473 A (INTERTECHNIQUE) 31 December 1981 (1981-12-31) the whole document	1-8,11, 13-18,21

IN RNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/EP2004/014858

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 2959028	A	08-11-1960	NONE		
GB 1385182	Α	26-02-1975	DE FR	2205407 A1 2124475 A5	21-09-1972 22-09-1972
GB 1604541	Α	09-12-1981	DE FR JP	2829503 A1 2396931 A1 54019078 A	18-01-1979 02-02-1979 13-02-1979
EP 0555500	Α	18-08-1993	US EP	5135161 A 0555500 A1	04-08-1992 18-08-1993
FR 2485473	Α	31-12-1981	FR	2485473 A1	31-12-1981